

Paper/Letter No: _____ Date: _____

Reviewer's Name: _____

Complete Address: _____

Phone: _____

Fax: _____

E-mail: _____

Policy for Crediting Reviewers: The names of the reviewers assisting the editorial board will be listed in the Transactions at the end of the December issue of each year. Credit will be given to the reviewers of both rejected and published papers and letters.

IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation

Reviewer's Report for Paper

(Administratively Confidential)

Please return with manuscript in thirty days or find a competent alternate reviewer, if you are unable to review.

Paper Number: _____

Author(s): _____

Title: _____

I. Technical Content

1. Does this paper present new ideas or results. Yes ___ No ___
that have not been previously published?
2. Are the results presented in this paper important Yes ___ No ___
for the AP-S Community?
3. Is the paper technically correct? Yes ___ No ___

Reviewers are asked to address all of these issues in "Comments for Transmittal to Author". Papers that are technically correct, but include only minor advances over previously published work are unacceptable.

II. General Content

1. Is the subject matter suitable for the AP-S Yes ___ No ___
Transactions? If not, please suggest an alternate
journal on the line below:

2. Is the paper complete for understanding? Yes ___ No ___
3. Is the paper concise for AP-S publication? Yes ___ No ___
If not, suggest the percentage reduction necessary
in part IV. Also, make specific page reduction
recommendations in your "Comments for Transmittal
to Author".
4. Is the manuscript written in clear, idiomatic English? Yes ___ No ___
5. Is previous related work adequately referenced? Yes ___ No ___

III. Overall Evaluation Relative to most papers, rate this paper based on the scale provided.

0	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	10
Unacceptable			Poor		Average		Good		Excellent	

IV. Recommendation

1. Should be published in AP-S Transactions:
 - (A) As is _____
 - (B) After indicated minor changes _____
2. Major changes are necessary before this paper is acceptable for publication. (State these changes in “Comments for Transmittal to Author” section). _____
3. Not suitable for publication in AP-S Transactions _____
4. If you checked options 1 or 2 above and the manuscript is not concise, suggest the percentage by which the manuscript should be reduced. Percentage: _____

Please offer specific suggestions in the “Comments for Transmittal to Author”.

V. Confidential Comments to Editor

Date	Reviewer’s Name (please print)	Reviewer’s Signature
------	--------------------------------	----------------------

The most important parts of your review are the written comments that will be transmitted to the author. On the following page, please give a straightforward assessment of the importance of the ideas and/or results presented in this paper. Your written comments should provide a thorough technical appraisal of the reported work. If the manuscript contains information that will be of interest to the AP-S community, please indicate those parts of the manuscript that should be corrected before publication. Reviewers are also encouraged to suggest ways to improve the paper, even if it is acceptable in its original form.

IEEE Transactions on Antennas and Propagation
Comments for Transmittal to Author

Authors: _____

Title: _____

Paper No.: _____